| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|--|---|---|-------------------------|--|
| Notice of Allowability | 09/982,906 | OWEN, KEVIN | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| | Sajous Wesner | 2628 | • | |
| | Sajous Wesilei | 2020 | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85 NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT R of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.31 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commicting the commiction is second committed. This application is second committed in the committee of the committ | n this application. If not include unication will be mailed in due o | d ourse. THIS | |
| 1. This communication is responsive to <u>response filed 3/27/0</u> | <u>07</u> . | | | |
| 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>14,15,17-20,28,29 and 39</u> . | | | | |
| 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) | or (f). | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents hav | e been received. | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav | | on No | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do | | | on from the | |
| International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| * Certified copies not received: | | | | |
| Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDON! THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. | | e a reply complying with the req | uirements | |
| 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give | | | OTICE OF | |
| 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mu | st be submitted. | | | |
| (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsper | | w (PTO-948) attached | | |
| 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date | | | | |
| (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner Paper No./Mail Date | 's Amendment / Comment o | r in the Office action of | | |
| Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in | 1.84(c)) should be written on t the header according to 37 CF | he drawings in the front (not the FR 1.121(d). | back) of | |
| 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT | osit of BIOLOGICAL MAT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIO | ERIAL must be submitted. N OLOGICAL MATERIAL. | ote the | |
| | | | · | |
| | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | · . | |
| 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 5. Notice of In | nformal Patent Application | | |
| 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 6. ☐ Interview S Paper No. | ummary (PTO-413), /Mail Date | • | |
| Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date | 7. 🗌 Examiner's | Amendment/Comment | | |
| Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. 🗌 Examiner's | 8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance | | |
| or biological material | 9. | -· | • | |
| | | 8ajous Wesner Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2628 | | |

NS 2/28/27

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant's arguments are not deemed persuasive. Particularly, the cited sections in the disclosure presented by the applicant as proof that the original disclosure supports the claimed language does not implies that a particular graphic is displayed each time a given electrical state is entered, as argued by the applicant. For example, the section at page 11 is merely stating that a graphic is displayed when the device is in a given state; and page 14 is merely saying that the a graphic is displayed when a give state is detected. But, nowhere in any of the stated sections it is found that the graphic is displyed every time the device state is entered. In reading the claim and the arguments, it appears that the claims was intended to read as -displaying a graphic each time or whenever the display device is in a particular state or each time a device state occurs-. Thus, the Examiner still maintains that the original disclosure does not convey to the ordinnary skill in the art at the time of the invention "displaying a graphic each time a given state is entered. As per the arguments with respect to claims 14 and 19, the Examiner concedes that the original disclosure shows support for "...only for the purpose of repeated display during a given state of the electrical device... each time the electrical device state occurs. As per the 35 USC 112 2nd paragraph rejections of claims 1, 9 and 34, the Examiner is not convince that the phrase "each time a given state is entered" is made clear what is being encompassed by the claim. Clarification is needed as what "given state" or to how the "given state is entered".

In short, the Examiner respectfully submit that the rejections of claims 1-8, 10-13, 22-23, 25-26, 35-36 and 40 are maintained. Claims 14-15, 17-20, 28-29, 31-32, & 39 are allowed because the prior art fails to teach receiving with a device that is printer, or photocopier, or a fax machine, or a network appliance graphical data selected by a user and sent to the electrical device from a host computer only for the purpose of repeated display during a given state of the device; the electrical device receiving an indication from the host computer as to what state the selected graphic is to be displayed by the electrical device each time the eletrical device state occurs. As the Parulski reference (US 7027172) only display and prints with a printer transmitted images captured by a camera. The printer is not provided with an indication from a host computer as to what state the selected graphic is to be(only) displayed by the printer each time the eletrical device

(printer's) state occurs

REASON FOR ALLOWANCE